

Asia Pacific Bangko

Beijing Hanoi Ho Chi Minh City

Hong Kons

Jakarta Kuala Lumpui

Manila Melbourne

Shanghai

Singapore

Middle East

Sydney Taipei

Tokyo Europe &

Almety

Baku Barcelona Berlio

Bologna Brussels Budapest

Carro Dusseldori Frankfurt / Main

Geneva Kviv London

Madrid Milan Moscov

Munich Peris Prague

Rivadh Rome St. Petersburg Stockholm

Vienna Warsaw Zwitch

Bogota Brasilia Buenos Aires

Calgary Caradas Chicago Dailas

Guadalajara Houston Juarez

Mexico City Miami Monterrey

Palo Alto Porto Alegre

Santiago Sac Paulo Tijuane Toronto

Valencia Washington, DO

Rio de Janeiro San Diego San Francisco

North & South America

MENO ENDORSI

Pake Pake Morketia 805 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022, USA

Tel: +1 212 751 5700 Fax: +1 212 759 9133 www.bakernet.com

Grant Hanessian Tel: +1 212 891 3986 +1-212 310 1080

Via Facsimile

Grant.Hanessian@bakernet.co

August 4, 2005

Hon, Richard M. Berman United States District Judge United States Courthouse 40 Centre Street, Room 201 New York, New York 10007-1581

SO ORDERED

léctricas Estata *CDE Richard M. Berman, U.S.D.*J

RE:

Empresa Generadora de El Dominicana de Empresas B No. 05 CV 5004 (RMB)

Dear Judge Berman:

We represent the plaintiff ITABO in the above-referenced matter. I am writing to inform the Court of developments in the arbitration that is the subject of the case before Your Honor, and to respond to the inquiry from your chambers.

The arbitration tribunal has now been fully constituted. It held its first conference with the parties on August 3, 2005, despite CDEEE's disingenuous efforts to delay that from happening.

On July 26, 2005, Dr. Horacio Grigera Naón, the President of the tribunal proposed a conference – later scheduled for August 3 – to discuss ITABO's long-standing application to the tribunal for interim relief to prohibit CDEEE from proceeding with litigation in the Dominican Republic. But on July 28, CDEEE's attorneys tried to derail that conference.

CDEEE wrote to Dr. Grigera Naon requesting that the conference be put off until after the conference with the Court that Your Honor had directed in the memorandum endorsement dated July 26, 2005. In its letter, CDEEE argued that it did not have time to participate in the arbitral conference because, in essence, it had to prepare the two-page letter that it sent to Your Honor on August 2, 2005. It also argued that the Court's decision with respect to reargument might render the arbitral proceedings superfluous - in spite of this

Amsterdam Antwerp Bahrain

McKenzie LLP is a member of Baker & McKenzie International, a Swiss Verein.

Page 2 of 10

Court's bold-faced admonition that "ITABO's recourse is to pursue vigorously its claims in the arbitral tribunal." (July 18, 2005 Decision and Order, p.14). Copies of CDEEE's letter, and a translation thereof, accompany the instant letter. The tribunal, however, refused to reschedule its conference.

26

The arbitral conference in fact took place on August 3. Although the parties presented their positions concerning ITABO's application for interim relief, the tribunal focused primarily on the threshold issues of its jurisdiction over the parties and the dispute. The arbitrators are new to the case and have a good deal they must learn about the parties, their contracts and the issues in dispute. They directed the parties to make further submissions which should be completed this week, but gave no indication of when they would rule on ITABO's application for interim relief.

ITABO therefore continues to have an acute need for relief from this Court to protect the jurisdiction of the arbitrators by affording them sufficient time to become familiar with the issues before them and rule on ITABO's interim application.

In answer to the inquiry from your chambers, ITABO consents to the court disposing of its application for reconsideration or reargument on the basis of the papers submitted to date, including the recent letters from counsel. In this regard, we note that CDEEE's letter does not dispute, or even address, the central points in ITABO's reargument application: that the July 18 Decision and Order (a) erroneously stated (at p. 15) that ITABO would not "lose its arbitration rights even if it were to comply with some (future) Dominican court order," and (b) erroneously implied that a decision of a Dominican Court in one of the cases pending in the Dominican Republic could have preclusive effect with respect to the arbitration (at p. 16).

Hon, Richard M. Berman August 4, 2005 NYCDMS/444094.2

t 26

Respectfully submitted,

Grant Hanessian/sex

Grant Hanessian

encl.

cc:

Steven Gerber, Esq. (Via Facsimile) Hugo Chaviano, Esq. (Via Facsimile)

Hon. Richard M. Berman August 4, 2005 NYCDMS/444094.2

Page 3

### MARK FREEHILL COMMUNICATION SERVICES

US Certified Federal Court Interpreter No. 20293

Tel: 809-623-1959 Fax: 809-530-5571 Cel: 809-440-1981 mark.freehill@codetel.net.do

Calle 5, No. 12, Residencial Santo Domingo, Santo Domingo, DOMINICAN REPUBLIC International Address: CE No. 277 / PO Box 149020 / Coral Gables, FL 33114-9020 USA RNC 1-22-01398-7

I, Mark Freehill, United States Federal Court Interpreter No. 20295, duly certified by the United States Government's Federal Court Interpreter Program, a United States citizen, of legal age, married, translator/interpreter by profession, beaver of Deminican Personal Identification Card (Cabile) No. 001-1488708-6, resident in Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic, domiciled at Galle 5, No. 12, Residential State Domings, in this city, HEREBY CERTIFY AND BEAR WITNESS that the following is a true and accurate version in the English language of a document presented to me in the Spanish language:

## **Jottin Cury**

28 July 2005

By facsimile and mail

Dr. Horacio A. Gigrera (sic) Naón President of the Arbitration Tribunal

> ICC Arbtiration 13708/CCO: Empresa Generadora de Electricidad ITABO, S.A. vs. I. Corporación Dominicana de Empresas Eléctricas Estatales; 2. Patrimonial Fund of the Enterprises/Patrimonial for Dévelopment (Partrimonial de las Empresas Reformadas/Fondo Patrimonial para el Desarrollo)

Honorable Mr. President:

CDEEE, with respect to the proposal of doing a telephone conference among the parties, requested by ITABO to discuss the cautionary measures it has sued for before this Arbitration Tribunal, and that are similar to those that were rejected by the Judge Richard M. Berman of New York, in his decision of 18 July 2005, expresses the following:

By instance dated 26 July 2005, ITABO has requested from judge Richard M. Berman the reconsideration of his decision of 18 July 2005, which rejected the suit which pursued an order of restriction in order for CDEEE to not continue litigating against ITABO in the Dominican courts.

The attorneys of CDEEE will be obliged in the next days to spend time on the study of that appeal of ITABO and must travel



next week to the city of New York to coordinate with the United States attorneys of CDEEE the defense of the latter in said appeal.

These circumstances oblige CDEEE to respectfully request that the holding of a telephone conference requested by ITABO be postponed to a date later than the holding of the hearing in which the appeal of the latter against the decision of Judge Richard M. Berman will be considered.

The requested postponement is necessary, not only due to the need of the attorneys of CDEEE to study and prepare the arguments they will raise against the allegations of ITABO with respect to the provisional measures requested from the Arbitration Tribunal which you preside, a task rendered difficult by the urgent need that has presented itself to respond to the points of the appeal by ITABO itself before the Judge of New York, but also because the final decision adopted with respect to said appeal, will have capital significance on the suit on cautionary measures which FFARO filed before the Arbitration Tribunal.

Specifically, and under the reservations of motivating and developing in due time the concepts we express below, it is evident that if the decision in New York, subject to the appeal, were to be revoked, and the suit of ITABO were to be finally accepted, the suit for cautionary measures filed before the Arbitration Tribunal would lose its purpose and there would be no need to hold the proposed telephone conference.

On the other hand, if said decision were to remain in effect, which would imply the right of appeal to the benefit of ITABO, we would have to consider presenting before the Arbitration Tribunal an exception of litispendencia due to the existence of two identical actions filed by ITABO before two different jurisdictions.

Sincerely,

[signature] Milivo Coiscou, Esq. For himself and for Dr. Julio Cury and Jottin Cury hijo, Esq.

oc. Mr. Cristián Conejero Roos/José Ricardo Feris INTERNATIONAL COURT OF ARBITRATION CCI Fax 0033 149532933 Dr. Claus Von Wobeser Fax 01 55 52581698-99



Case 1:05-cv-05004-PAC Document 26 Filed 08/09/2005 Page 6 of 10

D. Bernardo M. Cremades Giselle Leger, Esq. ITABO Dr. Gabriel Féliz Mendez

Luis A. Megaquete felletier. Esq. Juan Ignacia Reyes Renata Bam Tijuana Javier I. Navarro Velasco Fax 0034 915769794 Fax 001 809 5358715 Fax 001 809 6826416 001 809 6833964

Fax 01 654 6334399 Fax 011 52 01 0399

MFCS / August 05 / ITABO. JottinCury 28 julio 2005, English.cer

MADE AND SIGNED in Stone Denningo, Denninican Republic this first (12) day of the month of August of the year two thousand and size (2008).

Mark Freehill

U.S. Cerlified Pedrod Court Interpreter No. 20227

# OTTIN CURY

Dr. Ditter CLEY U. JOTTE COM NO IE PELVIC CORRORD LE JOSE GIRPORRO DY MUNICIPAL HOZ Or, JUSE PURON PEREZ LIA. MENDIN CASTRELO LIA. TEMPRETH GARCIA LE. SPANN DE LOS SANTOS De PERS TRANSPER COSALON CHARGE

FIL. SOCKICIEZ DENO PP 12, GARDIE SANTO DOMENO, DA SEPURCIO OCIMICANA TOL (805) 687-7747 = FAX (805) 687-5114

MTERNATIONAL MALING ADDRESS CP3 CONTRESSEAL Nº 2315 N P.O. NOW 108028 CORAL BANGES MARIE FL. 88114-8020

28 de julio de 2005

Por facsimil y correc

Dr. Horacio A. Grigrera Naon Presidente del Tribunal Arbitral

> Arbitraja ICC 13708/CCO; Empresa Generadora Electricidad ITABO, S.A. (República Dominicana) Corporación Dominicana de Empresas Estatales (República Dominicana) 2. Fondo Patrimonial de las Empresas Reformadas/Fondo Patrimonial para el Desarrollo (República Dominicana

### Honorable Sr. Prosidente:

CDEEE, con relación a la propuesta de realización de una conferencia telefénica entre las partes, solicitada por ITABO para tratar de las medidas cautelares que ha demandado ante ese Tribunal Arbitral, y que son similares a las que fueron rechazadas por el Juez de New York Richard M. Berman, en su decision del 18 de julio del 2005, expone lo siguiente:

Por instancia de fecha 26 de julio de 2005, ITABO ha solicitado al juez Richard M. Berman la reconsideración de su decisión del 18 de julio de 2005, que rechasó la demanda que perseguia una orden de restricción para que CDEEE no continuara litigando contra ITABO en los tribunales dominicanos.

Los abogados de CDEEE se verán obligados en los próximos dias a dedicarle tiempo al estudio de ese recurso de reconsideración de ITABO y deberan viajar la próxima semana a le

ciudad de New York para coordinar con los abogados norteamericanos de CDEEE la defensa de esta última en dicho recurso de reconsideración.

COREE circunstancias aspildo Essa: æ respetuosamente que se posponga la celebración de la conferencia telefónica solicitada por ITABO para una fecha posterior a la celebración de la audiencia en la que se conozca del recurso de reconsideración de esta última contra la decisión del Juez Richard M. Berman.

La posposición requerida es necesaria, no solamente por la necesidad que tienen los abogados de CDEME de estudiar y preparar los argumentos que opondrán a las pretensiones de ITABO respecto de las medidas provisionales solicitadas al Tribunal Arbitral que Ud. preside, labox que se dificulta por la urgente necesidad que se presenta de atender los puntos del recurso de reconsideración de la propia ITABO ante el Juez de New York, sino tembién porque la decisión final que se adopte con relación a dicho recurso, tendrá una incidencia capital en la demanda sobre medidas cautelares que ITABO intenta por ente el Tribunal Arbitral.

Especificamente, y bajo reservas de motivar y desarrollar en su oportunidad los conceptos que expresamos a continuación, es evidente que de revocarse la decisión de New York, objeto del recurso de reconsideración, y sceptarse finalmente la demanda de ITABO, perdería su objeto la demanda de medidas cautelares incoada ante el Tribunal Arbitral y no habria ninguna necesidad de celebrar la conferencia telefónica propuesta.

Por otra parte, de mantenerse vigente dicha decisión, lo que supondria el derecho de apelación a favor de ITABO, habria que considerar proponer ante el Tribunal Arbitral una excepción de litispendencia por la existencia de dos acciones idénticas interpuestas par ITABO ante dos jurisdicciones diferentes.

Atentamente

Por si y por el Dr. Julio Cury y el

Lic. Jottin Cury hijo

CC. Sr Cristian Conejero Roos/José Ricardo Feris INTERNACIONAL COURT OF ARBITRATION CCI Fax 0033 149532933 Fax 01 55 52581098-99 Dr. Claus Von Wobeser

D. Bernardo M. Cremades. Lic. Giselle Leger ITAEO Dr. Gabriel Féliz Hendez

Lic. Luis A. Mosquete Pelletier Lic. Juan Ignacio Rayes Renata Bal-Tijuana Javier I. Mavarro Velasco

Tax 0034 915769794 Fax 001 809 5358215 Fax 001 809 6826416. 001 809 6833964

Fex 01 664 6334399 Fax 011 52 81 8399

Pages (w/cover)

Filed 08/09/2005

Page 10 of 10 Facsimile Transmission

Baker & McKenzie LLP 805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022, USA

Tel: +1 212 751 5700 Fax: +1 212 759 9133 www.bakernet.com

Date	August 4, 2005	Phone	Fax
То	Hon. Richard M. Berman, United States District Judge	+1 212 805 6715	+1 212 805 6717
cc	Steven Gerber, Esq., Adorno & Yoss, LLP	+1 212 809 5700	+1 212 809 5701 +1 973 256 9001
	Hugo Chaviano, Esq., Sanchez & Daniels	+1 312 641 1555	+1 312 641 3004
From	Grant Hanessian	+1 212 891 3986	+1 212 310 1686
Client/Matter No.	35174680-000001		
Re	ITABO v. CDEEE, No. 05 CV 5004 (RMB)		

#### **Privacy And Confidentiality Notice**

The information contained in this facsimile is intended for the named recipients only. It may contain privileged and confidential information and if you are not an intended recipient, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by a collect telephone call to Office Services at +1 212 751 5700 x 4048 and return the original to the sender by mail. We will reimburse you for the postage.

Baker & McKenzie LLP is a member of Baker & McKenzie International, a Swiss Verein.